arXiv:1510.06377v1 [math.AG] 21 Oct 2015

SIGNATURES OF REAL ALGEBRAIC CURVES VIA PLUMBING DIAGRAMS

PATRICK M. GILMER AND STEPAN YU. OREVKOV

Abstract. We define and calculate signature and nullity invariants for complex schemes for curves in $\mathbb{R}P(2)$. We use a generalization of the Murasugi-Tristram inequality to prohibit certain schemes from being realized by real algebraic curves.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
1.1. Real algebraic curves and associated links 1
1.2. Nomenclature and numerical characteristics 3
1.3. Plumbing description of $L(C)$ 4
1.4. Signatures and nullity of complex schemes C 5
1.5. Algorithm to calculate $\mathrm{sig}_{b/p}(C)$ and $\eta_p(C)$ 5
1.6. Some hand calculations 9
1.7. Prohibiting two infinite sequences of complex schemes 9
2. Plumbing; Proof of Theorem 1.1 9
3. Signature and nullity 13
3.1. Conventions for $\mathbb{Z}_p$-covers 13
3.2. Definitions of signature and nullity 13
4. Proof of Theorem 1.2 14
5. Casson-Gordon invariants of certain graph manifolds 15
6. Formulas for signatures of graph links 18
7. Proofs of Theorem 1.3, and Propositions 1.5, 1.6, 1.7, 1.8, and 1.9 20
8. Proof of Theorem 1.4 21
References 23
Appendix A. Linking numbers 24
Appendix B. Mathematica Program to compute $\mathrm{sig}_{b/p}(C)$ and $\eta_p(C)$ 25
Appendix C. Behavior of sig and nul for schemes of even type 26

1. Introduction

1.1. **Real algebraic curves and associated links.** A nonsingular real algebraic curve in $\mathbb{R}P(2)$ consists of a collection of disjoint simple smooth closed curves. Such a collection whether coming from a real algebraic curve or not consists of a

Date: October 20, 2015.
The first author was partially supported by NSF-DMS-0905736, NSF-DMS-1311911. The second author was partially supported by RSF grant, project 14-21-00053 dated 11.08.14.

number of 2-sided curves called ovals, and at most one 1-sided curve. We shall call the isotopy type of such a collection of curves a real scheme. A real algebraic curve includes a 1-sided component if and only if it has odd degree. We will also say a scheme has odd or even type according to the same principle. A real algebraic curve is called dividing if it separates its complex locus in $\mathbb{C}P(2)$ into two components. In this case, the real algebraic curve inherits from the complex curve a semi-orientation which is an orientation of each component, up to simultaneous reversal of all components. This is called a complex orientation of the real algebraic curve. A real scheme equipped with a semi-orientation is called a complex scheme.

Let $\tilde{D}$ be the complement in $\mathbb{C}P(2)$ of a small open tubular neighborhood of $\mathbb{R}P(2)$. Let $\tilde{Q} = \partial\tilde{D}$ and let D and Q be the quotient of $\tilde{D}$ and $\tilde{Q}$ respectively by complex conjugation. It is convenient to assume that the tubular neighborhood is "infinitely small". Rigorously speaking, this means that $\tilde{D}$ is $\mathbb{C}P(2)$ (viewed as a real variety) blown up at $\mathbb{R}P(2)$ and then cut along the exceptional divisor. Then Q is the projective normal bundle of $RP(2)$ which we identify with the projective tangent bundle of $\mathbb{R}P(2)$ by sending each tangent vector v to iv, where $i = \sqrt{-1}$.

Let A be an embedded surface in $\mathbb{C}P(2)$ transversal to $\mathbb{R}P(2)$, invariant under complex conjugation and such that the tangent bundle to A restricted to C is the complexification of the tangent bundle to C where $C = A \cap \mathbb{R}P(2)$. Then $A \cap \tilde{Q}$ is a link in $\tilde{Q}$ which is determined by C. We denote its orbit in Q under complex conjugation by $L(C)$. One may describe $L(C)$ more directly as follows: To each point x of C, we have the tangent line l_x to C at p. The pair (x, l_x) is a point in Q the projective tangent bundle of $\mathbb{R}P(2)$. One defines $L(C) = \{(x, l_x) | x \in C\}$. It is a link in Q with one component lying over each component of C in $\mathbb{R}P(2)$. A semi-orientation on C induces a semi-orientation on $L(C)$.

The complex locus of a real algebraic curve (which is nonsingular as a complex curve) is an embedded surface as above of genus $(m-1)(m-2)/2$. Thus a necessary condition for realizability of a complex scheme C by a real algebraic dividing curve of degree m is that $L(C)$ bounds a connected orientable surface G (the orbit space of A under complex orientation) properly embedded in D with $\beta_1(G) = (m-1)(m-2)/2$.

It is clear also that this condition is also necessary for the realizability of C by a flexible dividing curve in the sense of Viro [V1]. We remark the above condition together with the restriction that the total linking number of C and a nearly parallel curve C' (see the Proof of Proposition 1.5 for description of C') have linking number $[m^2/2]$ is necessary and sufficient for the realizability of C by a flexible dividing curve. *All the restrictions on real algebraic curves that we discuss in this paper also hold for flexible curves. But, for brevity, we only mention this here.*

In [G2], the first author defined signature and nullity invariants associated to $L(C)$. They were shown to satisfy an inequality deriving from an extension of the Murasugi-Tristram inequality for links in S^3. In this paper, we will describe $L(C)$ as a particularly simple link with respect to a plumbing diagram for Q which is tailored to C. Moreover, we will give formulas which allow the computation of the signature and nullity invariants of $L(C)$. In this way, we will prohibit certain complex schemes from arising as complex orientations of dividing real algebraic curves of specified degree.

Similar techniques can be applied to some related links that arise in $L(4,1)$ and $L(2,1)$ as covering spaces of Q. This is work in progress.

1.2. **Nomenclature and numerical characteristics.** We collect here terminology and the definitions of numerical characteristics which are assigned to a scheme C.

- We use $l(C)$ to denote the number of ovals in C.
- If C has odd type, we denote the 1-sided component by J. If C has even type, we pick a one-sided curve and give it an arbitrary orientation. This auxillary curve will also be denoted by J. Thus we have a oriented one-sided curve J in the complement of the ovals of C which we can use as a reference.
- Abusing notation, we usually let C denote either C in the case C has odd type, or C union the arbitrarily chosen J. In this last case, the isotopy class of the complex scheme $C \cup J$ usually depends on the choice of J. Thus if C has even type, further constructions based on $\Gamma(C) \cup J$ depend on the choice of J. Our notation does not indicate this. The signatures and nullities that we define and calculate for C using $\Gamma(C) \cup J$ are independent of the choice of J.
- An oval is said to be negative, if the oval is homologous to twice the one sided curve in the complement of a point interior to the disk in the complement of the oval. Otherwise we say the oval is positive. This counter-intuitive choice of words is traditional in the subject of real algebraic curves. For an oval o of C, let $\epsilon(o)$ be 1 (resp. -1) if the oval is positive (resp. negative).
- We will call the region of the complement of $C \cup J$ which meets J the outer region and denote it by R_1. The other components of the complement of $C \cup J$ will also be called regions.
- If one must cross an even number of other ovals to pass from a region to R_1, we say the region is even and otherwise we say an region is odd. We will refer to this evenness or oddness of a region R as the parity of the region, and write $\text{parity}(R) \in \{0, 1\}$ accordingly
- The ovals which meet R_1 are called outer ovals.
- If one must cross an even number of other ovals to pass from an oval to R_1, we say the oval is even and otherwise we say an oval is odd. We will refer to this evenness or oddness of an oval o as the parity of an oval, and write $\text{parity}(o) \in \{0, 1\}$ accordingly.
- We let $\Lambda^+(C)$ ($\Lambda^-(C)$) denote the number of positive (respectively negative) ovals of C.
- Two ovals are said to form an injective pair if they are the boundary of an annulus in $\mathbb{R}P(2)$. An injective pair of oriented ovals is said to be positive (negative) if their orientation is (respectively not) induced from an orientation on the annulus they bound. We let $\Pi^+(C)$ ($\Pi^-(C)$) denote the number of positive (respectively negative) injective pairs of ovals in C.
- For each region R, we let $\Lambda^+_R(C)$ (resp. $\Lambda^-_R(C)$) denote the number of positive (resp. negative) ovals of C which encircle R (or equivalently encircle an arbitrarily chosen point in R).
- For each oval o, we let $\Pi^+_o(C)$ (resp. $\Pi^-_o(C)$) denote the number of ovals of C which form positive (resp. negative) injective pairs with o.

We frequently omit C from the above notations when it should cause no confusion.

1.3. **Plumbing description of $L(C)$.** We begin by giving a plumbing description of the links $L(C)$. This description is inspired by the graph links of Eisenbud and Neumann [EN]. The theory of graph links was developed only for links in integral homology spheres whereas our manifold, Q, is a rational homology sphere. Our particular case is elementary and can be explained straight forwardly using Kirby calculus diagrams [K].

The decorated weighted graph $\Gamma(C)$ for the plumbing diagram will have one vertex for each region R of the complement of $C \cup J$. Such a vertex will be denoted by R, as well and be given, as weight, twice the Euler characteristic of R. For each oval o in C, we have another vertex, also denoted o, and weighted zero. An edge connects R and o whenever o is in the boundary of R. We have three more vertices u_1, u_2 and u_3 weighted 1, 2 and 2 in the graph. There are also three more edges one connecting u_1 to u_2, one connecting u_1 to u_3 and one connecting u_1 to the vertex associated to R_1.

Then we further decorate the graph with signed arrows, one for each component of C. If C contains a one-sided curve, we attach a positive arrow to u_2. Otherwise we do not attach any arrow to u_2. Now we can add signed arrows to all the vertices that are indexed by ovals. The sign of the arrow at the vertex indexed by o is given by $(-1)^{\mathrm{parity}(o)+1}\epsilon(o)$.



FIGURE 1. On the left is a scheme C of odd type with five ovals and a 1-sided curve. On the right is the associated decorated graph $\Gamma(C)$. The six arrows represent the components of $L(C) \subset Q$. The arrows are assumed to have the sign $+$, unless they are marked with a minus sign. The weighted graph is a plumbing diagram for Q. This complex scheme was chosen simply to illustrate some features of the construction of $\Gamma(C)$ and still not be too complicated. This complex scheme cannot be the scheme for a dividing real algebraic curve as it does not satisfies the Rohlin-Mishachev restriction [R, 2.4] for a dividing curve of any degree.

The plumbing diagram with the weights but without the signed arrows is a recipe to build a 4-manifold by gluing together oriented 2-disk bundles over 2-sphere bases [HNK]. These 2-sphere bases are represented by the vertices. The weights are the Euler numbers of the 2-disk bundles. The edges, which form a tree in our case, describe the plan for plumbing these bundles together. The boundary of this 4-manifold is homeomorphic to Q. The positive arrows depict oriented fibers of the

associated circle bundle. The negative arrows depict these fibers with the opposite orientation. In both cases, these fibers are to be taken over points of the 2-disks which are the bases for the copies of $D^2 \times D^2$ used in the plumbing. Thus these arrows describe an oriented link $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma(C))$ in Q. The plumbing matrix for $\Gamma(C)$ is the symmetric matrix with rows and columns indexed by the vertices of $\Gamma(C)$ whose diagonal entires are the weights and whose off-diagonal entries are one exactly when the two vertices are connected by an edge, and are zero, otherwise. We note that the number of vertices of $\Gamma(C)$ is $2l(C)+4$.

Theorem 1.1. *$L(C)$ and $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma(C))$ describe the same oriented link in Q.*

This will be proved in section 2.

1.4. **Signatures and nullity of complex schemes C.** For every complex scheme C and every odd prime p, and every $0 < b \leq (p-1)/2$, we will define a signature, $\mathrm{sig}_{b/p}(C) \in \mathbb{Z}$, and a nullity, $\eta_p(C) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, in section 4. These are versions of the signatures and nullities define in [G2] that have been normalized and parameterized slightly differently. One has that:

$$\mathrm{sig}_{b/p}(C) + \eta_p(C) \equiv \beta_0(C) - 1 \pmod 2 \tag{1}$$

$$\eta_p(C) \leq \beta_0(C) - 1. \tag{2}$$

Moreover, we have the following rephrasing of [G2, Theorem(9.7)]. In section 3, we recall the proof in our present language. This theorem can be viewed as an application of a generalization of the Murasugi-Tristram inequalities for links in S^3.

Theorem 1.2. *If C is the real part of a dividing real algebraic curve of degree m with its complex orientation, p is an odd prime and $0 < b \leq (p-1)/2$, then*

$$|\mathrm{sig}_{b/p}(C)| + \eta_p(C) \leq (m-1)(m-2)/2.$$

1.5. **Algorithm to calculate $\mathrm{sig}_{b/p}(C)$ and $\eta_p(C)$.** We now describe an algorithm for the calculation of $\mathrm{sig}_{b/p}(C)$ and $\eta_p(C)$ with input $\Gamma(C)$.

If $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $r_p(x)$ denote the unique integer congruent to x modulo p in the range $[0, p-1]$. If $\vec{x}$ is instead a vector in $\mathbb{Z}^n$, for some n, $r_p(\vec{x})$ is defined in this same way but entry by entry. If a vector $\vec{x}$ has entries indexed by the vertices $\mathfrak{v}$ of a graph, we let $x_{\mathfrak{v}}$ denote the $\mathfrak{v}$-coeficient of x. If Y is a graph, we let $v(Y)$ be the vertices of Y. If Y is a plumbing graph (ie. a graph with the vertices weighted by integers), let A_Y be the matrix whose rows and columns are indexed by $v(Y)$ and has for entries on the diagonal the weights and for entries off the diagonal has a 1 exactly when two vertices are joined by an edge. We let $|S|$ denote the cardinality of a finite set S.

Every symbol that we introduce here depends on C implicitly.

- Let Γ stand for $\Gamma(C)$.
- Let $\vec{s}$ be a row vector in $\mathbb{Z}^{|v(\Gamma)|}$ with entries indexed by the vertices $v(\Gamma)$:

$$\vec{s}_{\mathfrak{v}} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mathfrak{v} \text{ is the tail of a positive arrow} \\ -1 & \text{if } \mathfrak{v} \text{ is the tail of a negative arrow} \\ 0 & \text{if } \mathfrak{v} \text{ is not the tail of any arrow} \end{cases}$$

  .
- Let $\Delta = 2\vec{s}{A_\Gamma}^{-1}\vec{s}^{\,t} \in \mathbb{Z}$
- Let $\vec{c} = -2\vec{s}{A_\Gamma}^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$

- Let Γ^+ be the plumbing graph obtained by converting all arrows of $\Gamma(C)$ to edges and all arrowheads to vertices weighted zero. We note that the number of vertices of Γ^+ for curve of odd type is $3l(C)+5$. For a curve of even type, it is one less.



FIGURE 2. Γ^+ for complex scheme on the left of Figure 1

- Let $\vec{c}^+$ be the vector in $\mathbb{Z}^{|v(\Gamma+)|}$indexed by $v(\Gamma^+)$ obtained by adjoining to the vector $\vec{c}$ extra entries, indexed by the vertices which replace the arrowheads of the arrows, which are ± 2 according to the signs on the arrows.
- Let $z_{\vec{c},p}$ denote the number of the vertices $\mathfrak{v}$ of Γ with $\vec{c}_{\mathfrak{v}} = 0 \pmod p$.
- Let $\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{c},p}$ denote the subgraph of Γ whose vertices are the vertices $\mathfrak{v}$ of Γ such that $\vec{c}_{\mathfrak{v}} = 0 \pmod p$ that are not connected by an edge of Γ^+ to any vertex $\mathfrak{w}$ with $\vec{c}^+_{\mathfrak{w}} \neq 0 \pmod p$, and whose edges are the edges of Γ connecting these vertices.
- Let $e_{\vec{c},p}$ denote the number of edges in Γ^+ that join two vertices $\mathfrak{v}$ and $\mathfrak{w}$ of Γ^+ with $\vec{c}^+_{\mathfrak{v}} \neq 0 \pmod p$ and $\vec{c}^+_{\mathfrak{w}} \neq 0 \pmod p$.
- Let $\mathfrak{E}_{\vec{c},p}$ be the number of edges $\mathfrak{e}$ in Γ^+ with at least one endpoint $\mathfrak{v}$ of $\mathfrak{e}$ with $\vec{c}^+_{\mathfrak{v}} = 0 \pmod p$.

Theorem 1.3. *For every odd prime p, and every $1 \leq b \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$, we have that*

$$\mathrm{sig}_{b/p}(C) = \frac{2}{p^2}\left(r_p(b\vec{c}^+)A_{\Gamma^+}(r_p(-b\vec{c}^+))^t + b(p-2b)\Delta\right) + \mathrm{Sign}(A_{\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{c},p}}) - e_{\vec{c},p} - 2$$
$$\eta_p(C) = \mathfrak{E}_{\vec{c},p} + \mathrm{nullity}(A_{\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{c},p}}) + |v(\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{c},p})| - 2z_{\vec{c},p}$$

We implemented the above algorithm in the mathematica program listed in Appendix B. For C the complex scheme in Figure 1 which in Viro's notation is $J \amalg 1^- \langle 2^- \rangle \amalg 2^+$, we plot $\mathrm{sig}_{b/127}(C)$ against $1 \leq b \leq 63$ in Figure 3. This suggests the next theorem.

Before we state the next theorem, we need the following definitions.

- Let $z_{\vec{c},\infty}$ denote the number of the vertices $\mathfrak{v}$ of Γ with $\vec{c}_{\mathfrak{v}} = 0$.
- Let $\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{c},\infty}$ denote the subgraph of Γ whose vertices are the vertices $\mathfrak{v}$ of Γ such that $\vec{c}_{\mathfrak{v}} = 0$ that are not connected by an edge of Γ^+ to any vertex $\mathfrak{w}$ with $\vec{c}^+_{\mathfrak{w}} \neq 0$, and whose edges are the edges of Γ connecting these vertices.
- Let $\mathfrak{E}_{\vec{c},\infty}$ be the number of edges $\mathfrak{e}$ in Γ^+ with at least one endpoint $\mathfrak{v}$ of $\mathfrak{e}$ with $\vec{c}^+_{\mathfrak{v}} = 0$.



FIGURE 3.

Theorem 1.4. *If C is a complex scheme in $\mathbb{R}P(2)$, then $\mathrm{sig}_{b/p}(C)$ viewed as a function of the numbers b/p can be extended to a step function $\mathrm{sig}_x(C)$ for $x \in (0,1/2)$ on the interval $(0,1/2)$ whose only discontinuities have denominators which divide some non-zero entry of $\vec{c}$. The function η_p restricted to the set of primes coprime to the non-zero entries of $\vec{c}$ has constant value. This constant, denoted $\mathrm{nul}(C)$, is given by*

$$\mathfrak{E}_{\vec{c},\infty} + \mathrm{nullity}(A_{\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{c},\infty}}) + |v(\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{c},\infty})| - 2z_{\vec{c},\infty}.$$

To remove the ambiguity in the definition of sig_x at those points with non-prime denominators which divide a non-zero entry of $\vec{c}$, we define sig_x to be the average of the one sided limits at these points. We assign no particular meaning to these values, but do this simply to avoid ambiguity. These averages of one sided limits will also be integers by (1).

For our example $J \amalg 1^- \langle 2^- \rangle \amalg 2^+$, the following list describes the signature and nullity functions. The arrows point to either a pair of integers or a single integer. The first integer is $\mathrm{sig}_{b/p}$ for any point b/p in the interval (or singleton). The second integer is the value η_p if there is a number b/p in the interval (or singleton). Thus the second integer assigned to any proper interval is nul . There is no second integer for a singleton not of the form b/p.

```
(0/1, 1/14) --> (-1, 0)
    1/14 --> (-2)
(1/14, 1/10) --> (-3, 0)
   1/10 --> (-5)
(1/10, 1/7) --> (-7, 0)
     1/7 --> (-8, 1)
(1/7, 1/6) --> (-9, 0)
     1/6 --> (-8)
(1/6, 1/5) --> (-7, 0)
     1/5 --> (-7, 0)
(1/5, 3/14) --> (-7, 0)
     3/14 --> (-8)
(3/14, 2/7) --> (-9, 0)
     2/7 --> (-10, 1)
(2/7, 3/10) --> (-11, 0)
     3/10 --> (-8)
(3/10, 1/3) --> (-7, 0)
```

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 1/3 & \longrightarrow & (-8,\ 1) \\ (1/3,\ 5/14) & \longrightarrow & (-9,\ 0) \\ 5/14 & \longrightarrow & (-8) \\ (5/14,\ 2/5) & \longrightarrow & (-7,\ 0) \\ 2/5 & \longrightarrow & (-7,\ 0) \\ (2/5,\ 3/7) & \longrightarrow & (-7,\ 0) \\ 3/7 & \longrightarrow & (-6,\ 1) \\ (3/7,\ 1/2) & \longrightarrow & (-5,\ 0) \end{array}$$

1.5.1. *Formulas for Δ and the entries of $\vec{c}$.* These formulas are useful for hand and also computer calculation of $\mathrm{sig}_{b/p}(C)$ and $\eta_p(C)$.

Proposition 1.5. *If C has odd type, $\Delta = -4(l + 2(\Pi^- - \Pi^+) + (\Lambda^- - \Lambda^+))$. If C has even type, $\Delta = -4(l + 2(\Pi^- - \Pi^+))$.*

We remark that then the Rohlin-Mishachev restriction can be stated: If C is a dividing real algebraic curve with its complex orientation, then

$$-\Delta = \begin{cases} m^2 - 1 & \text{if } m \text{ is odd} \\ m^2 & \text{if } m \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Proposition 1.6. *If C has odd type,*

1. $\vec{c}_{u_1} = -2 - 4(\Lambda^- - \Lambda^+)$
2. $\vec{c}_{u_2} = 2(\Lambda^- - \Lambda^+)$
3. $\vec{c}_{u_3} = 1 + 2(\Lambda^- - \Lambda^+)$,
4. *For each region R, $\vec{c}_R = (-1)^{\mathrm{parity}(R)}(1 + 2(\Lambda^-_R - \Lambda^+_R))$*
5. *For each oval o, $\vec{c}_o = \vec{s}_o(-2\epsilon(o) + 4 + 4(\Pi^-_o - \Pi^+_o))$*

Note using Theorem 1.3, a curve of odd type tends to have large η_p if there is a region R with $1 + 2(\Lambda^-_R - \Lambda^+_R) = 0 \pmod p$ and R is a disk with many holes. Also it not hard to see that $\mathrm{nul}(C) = 0$ for a curve of odd type.

Proposition 1.7. *If C has even type,*

1. $\vec{c}_{u_1} = -4(\Lambda^- - \Lambda^+)$
2. $\vec{c}_{u_2} = 2(\Lambda^- - \Lambda^+)$
3. $\vec{c}_{u_3} = 2(\Lambda^- - \Lambda^+)$,
4. *For each region R, $\vec{c}_R = (-1)^{\mathrm{parity}(R)}(2(\Lambda^-_R - \Lambda^+_R))$*
5. *For each oval o, $\vec{c}_0 = \vec{s}_o(4 + 4(\Pi^-_o - \Pi^+_o))$*

In the same way, a curve of even type tends to have large η_p if there is a region R with $\Lambda^-_R - \Lambda^+_R = 0 \pmod p$ and R is a disk with many holes.

1.5.2. *The graph $\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{c},p}$ is generally rather small.*

Proposition 1.8. *If C has odd type, $\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{c},p}$ has no edges and each vertex of $\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{c},p}$ is either indexed by a region or is possibly u_3.*

Proposition 1.9. *Suppose C has even type.*

1. *If $\Lambda^- \neq \Lambda^+ \pmod p$, $\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{c},p}$ has no edges and each vertex of $\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{c},p}$ is indexed by a non-outer region.*

(2) *Assume* $\Lambda^- = \Lambda^+ \pmod p$. *Then* $\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{c},p}$ *contains* u_1, u_2, u_3 *and the two edges joining these vertices. Let* R_1 *denote the outer region.* $\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{c},p}$ *contains* R_1 *and the edge joining* R_1 *to* u_1 *if and only if: for every outer oval* o, $\Pi_o^+ - \Pi_o^- = 1 \pmod p$. $\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{c},p}$ *contains no other edges. The only other vertices possibly contained in* $\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{c},p}$ *are indexed by non-outer regions.*

1.6. **Some hand calculations.** Using Theorem 1.3, and the above formulas, one can derive the following formulas. We assume that $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$.

$$\mathrm{sig}_{1/3}(J \amalg 1^- \langle \alpha^- \amalg \beta^+ \rangle) = \begin{cases} \frac{8}{3}(\beta - \alpha) - 2 & \text{if } \alpha = \beta \pmod 3 \\ \frac{8}{3}(\beta - \alpha + 1) - 4 & \text{if } \alpha = \beta + 1 \pmod 3 \\ \frac{8}{3}(\beta - \alpha - 1) & \text{if } \alpha = \beta - 1 \pmod 3 \end{cases}$$

$$\eta_3(J \amalg 1^- \langle \alpha^- \amalg \beta^+ \rangle) = \alpha + \beta - 1$$

$$\mathrm{sig}_{1/3}(J \amalg 1^+ \langle \alpha^- \amalg \beta^+ \rangle) = \begin{cases} \frac{8}{3}(\beta - \alpha) + \beta - 3\alpha + 1 & \text{if } \alpha = \beta \pmod 3 \\ \frac{8}{3}(\beta - \alpha + 1) + \beta - 3\alpha - 2 & \text{if } \alpha = \beta + 1 \pmod 3 \\ \frac{8}{3}(\beta - \alpha - 1) + \beta - 3\alpha + 3 & \text{if } \alpha = \beta - 1 \pmod 3 \end{cases}$$

$$\eta_3(J \amalg 1^+ \langle \alpha^- \amalg \beta^+ \rangle) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \alpha \neq \beta - 1 \pmod 3 \\ 1 & \text{if } \alpha = \beta - 1 \pmod 3 \end{cases}$$

$$\mathrm{sig}_{1/3}(J \amalg 1^+ \langle 1^+ \langle \alpha^- \amalg \beta^+ \rangle \rangle) = \begin{cases} \frac{8}{3}(\alpha - \beta) - 2 & \text{if } \alpha = \beta \pmod 3 \\ \frac{8}{3}(\alpha - \beta - 1) + 1 & \text{if } \alpha = \beta + 1 \pmod 3 \\ \frac{8}{3}(\alpha - \beta + 1) - 5 & \text{if } \alpha = \beta - 1 \pmod 3 \end{cases}$$

$$\eta_3(J \amalg 1^+ \langle 1^+ \langle \alpha^- \amalg \beta^+ \rangle \rangle) = \begin{cases} \alpha + \beta & \alpha = \beta \pmod 3 \\ \alpha + \beta - 1 & \alpha \neq \beta \pmod 3. \end{cases}$$

1.7. **Prohibiting two infinite sequences of complex schemes.** The complex scheme $J \amalg 1^- \langle 12^- \amalg 15^+ \rangle$ is the first of the series of complex M-schemes of degree $2k+1$ where $k = 1 \pmod 3$ (and $k \geq 4$) given by $J \amalg 1^- \langle \alpha^- \amalg \beta^+ \rangle$ where $\alpha = (5k^2 - k - 4)/6$ and $\beta = (7k^2 - 5k - 2)/6$. These satisfy the Rohlin-Mishachev formula for complex orientations. They are all prohibited using the formulas for $\mathrm{sig}_{1/3}$, and η_3 in subsection 1.6. We only need the case $\alpha = \beta \pmod 3$. These are also prohibited by earlier unpublished work of Kharlamov. The scheme $J \amalg 1^- \langle 12^- \amalg 15^+ \rangle$ is also prohibited for a curve of degree 9 recently by S. Fiedler [F]. Consider the degree $2k+1$ complex M-schemes, $J \amalg 1^+ < 1^+ < \alpha^- \amalg \beta^+ >>$ for $\alpha = \frac{1}{6}\left(7k^2 - 5k - 6\right)$, and $\beta = \frac{1}{6}(5k^2 - k - 6)$ where $k \geq 5$ and $k \neq 1 \pmod 3$. They satisfy the Rohlin-Mishachev formula for an M-curve. Using subsection 1.6, they are prohibited by our bound with $p = 3$. (If $k = 1 \pmod 3$, then α and β are not integers.)

2. Plumbing; Proof of Theorem 1.1

We first strengthen the statement of the theorem. This allows a proof by induction on the number of ovals. In the inductive step, we will delete an empty oval (i.e. an oval with no ovals in the disk that it bounds).

To describe the strengthening, we must first recall the definition of $L(C)$. To each point x of C we have the tangent line l_x to C at p. The pair (x, l_x) is a point in the projective tangent bundle of $\mathbb{R}P(2)$. One defines $L(C) = \{(x, l_x) | x \in C\}$. It is a link in Q with one component lying over each component of C in $\mathbb{R}P(2)$. We orient $L(C)$ so that the projection to C is orientation preserving on each component.

We next fix an orientation on $\mathbb{R}P(2) \setminus J$. In each region R_i of $\mathbb{R}P(2) \setminus (C \cup J)$, pick a point y_i , and let f_i denote the fiber in Q over y_i, and assign f_i the orientation given by a counterclockwise rotation of lines (with respect to the orientation chosen for $\mathbb{R}P(2) \setminus J$) in $\mathbb{R}P(2)$ through y_i. Let $\hat{L}(C)$ denote the link $L(C) \cup_i f_i$.

Let $\hat{\Gamma}(C)$ denote the decorated plumbing graph $\Gamma(C)$ with with $\beta_0(\mathbb{R}P(2) \setminus C)$ additional signed arrows: one arrow is attached to each vertex that is associated to a region of $\mathbb{R}P(2) \setminus J$. The sign on each arrow indexed by R is given by $(-1)^{\text{parity}(R)}$. In Figure 4, we illustrate $\hat{\Gamma}(C)$ for C of Figure 1. We let $\mathcal{L}(\hat{\Gamma}(C))$ in Q denote the link obtained by adjoining to $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma(C))$ the $\beta_0(\mathbb{R}P(2) \setminus C)$ fibers specified by the added signed arrows.



FIGURE 4. The associated decorated graph $\hat{\Gamma}(C)$ for the example complex scheme given on the left of Figure 1.

Here is the strengthened version of Theorem 1.1

Theorem 2.1. *$\hat{L}(C)$ and $\mathcal{L}(\hat{\Gamma}(C))$ both describe the same oriented link in Q. Moreover, the signature of the plumbing matrix for $\Gamma(C)$ is two. Two fibers to any spherical base in the plumbing, which is indexed by a region of $\mathbb{R}P(2) \setminus C$, will have linking number zero with each other in Q. Two fibers to any spherical base in the plumbing, indexed by an oval of C, will have linking number two with each other in Q.*

Proof. In [G2, pages 59-60], the first author described how to draw a picture of $L(C)$ inside a description of Q as the result of surgery on a 2-component framed link in S^3. $L(J)$ is a one-component link which we denote by ℓ. Moreover $L(C \setminus J)$ is obtained by a iterated satellite construction starting with a single component we denote by f (for fiber of the projective tangent circle bundle). This is illustrated in Figure 5 where we describe how to slide ℓ over one of the 2-handles in the boundary of 4-dimensional handle body specified by Kirby calculus picture. Figure 6 shows that the result which can be converted to a plumbing diagram after two positive blow-ups.



FIGURE 5. On the left is a 2-component framed link description for Q (these components are zero framed and so have 0 written near them. Also shown is 2-component link in Q consisting of f and ℓ. On the right the grey box shows the path of the isotopy of ℓ in Q to be performed by sliding over one of the handles.



FIGURE 6. On the right is the result of the handle slide proposed in Figure 5, after a further isotopy of the framed link and the two components ℓ and f. If one blows down in sequence two unknots with framing 1 in the middle diagram, one recovers the diagram on the left. The graph link diagram on the right denotes the same link in Q.

It is enough to prove the theorem in the case C is a complex scheme of odd type. Suppose C has no ovals, then $\hat{L}(C)$ and $\mathcal{L}(\hat{\Gamma}(C))$ are both described simply by the plumbing diagram on the left of Figure 6. We note the signature of the plumbing graph is two as when we blow down two plus ones we get a framed link with linking matrix $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. The fibers over two distinct points in any one of 2-spheres that are weighted two have linking number zero. This follows from Proposition A.2 applied to the the surgery description on the left of figure 5. Thus Theorem 2.1 holds, if C contains no ovals.

Now we are set to prove the theorem by induction on the number of ovals. We consider a complex scheme C with some ovals, and suppose the theorem holds for $C' = C$ with an empty oval deleted.

According to [G2, pages 59-60], $\hat{L}(C)$ is obtained from $\hat{L}(C')$ by taking a fiber f in the region where a new oval is to be born and pushing off an extra copy of f, say f', such that the linking number of $f$and f' is zero and then adjoining a $(2,1)$ cable of f'. We want to see that the corresponding change from $\mathcal{L}(\hat{\Gamma}(C))$

to $\mathcal{L}(\hat{\Gamma}(C'))$ amounts to the same thing. For this, we draw framed link pictures. First we simply consider how surgery descriptions that correspond to the plumbing diagrams change in going from $\mathcal{L}(\hat{\Gamma}(C'))$ to $\mathcal{L}(\hat{\Gamma}(C))$.



FIGURE 7. Framed link descriptions and corresponding plumbing diagrams. The grey rectangle indicates a handle slide.

Consider Figure 7. If we start with the after picture, we can slide the handle with framing $n-2$ over the handle with framing 2 using the track suggested by the gray rectangle. The curve previously with framing $n-2$ now has framing n. We also have two other components but one of these with framing zero is a meridian to the other component. One may use this zero framed component to unlink everything else from this two component Hopf link which can then be erased completely as it is a surgery description of S^3. Notice that this shows that the signature of the plumbing matrix does not change, as handle sliding corresponds to basis change and the signature of $\begin{bmatrix} ? & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is zero. Also a positive meridian to the curve framed n in the before picture is isotopic to a negative meridian to the curve framed 2 in the after picture. This accounts for the use of parity in the definition of $\mathcal{L}(\hat{\Gamma}(C))$. In addition a pair of meridians to the curve framed n in the before picture, which by induction must have linking number zero, is isotopic to a pair of meridians to the curve framed 2 in the after picture. So a pair of meridians to the curve framed 2 in the after picture also have linking number zero. Because of this a pair of meridians in the plumbing picture correspond to a pair of fibers in the projective tangent circle bundle over the region inside the new oval.

Finally one may see that a negative meridian to the zero framed component may be slid over the handle corresponding to the 2-framed component so that it is seen to be isotopic to a (2,1) cable around a positive meridian for the 2-framed component. We illustrate this Figure 8, in the case that the oval we add in going from C' to C has odd parity. This is exactly what is needed to see $\hat{L}(C) = \mathcal{L}(\hat{\Gamma}(C))$ using the description of $L(C)$ in [G2]. If we slide two negative meridians to the zero framed component over the handle corresponding to the 2-framed component in sequence, the result can be seen to be a (4,2) cable around a positive meridian for the 2-framed component. This is the link with two components lying above two

nested ovals oriented in the same direction (and so making up a negative injective pair) as in [G2] and so this link has linking number 2.

The case that the added oval has even parity is similar. □



FIGURE 8.

3. Signature and nullity

There is a general discussion of signatures and nullities for links in rational homology spheres in [G2, G3]. In [G2, G3], we consider more general situations than we need to consider here. So we sketch the definitions from scratch as we use a slight variation definitions used previously. The reader should look to the above references for arguments which are omitted.

3.1. **Conventions for $\mathbb{Z}_p$-covers.** If we have a space X equipped with a cohomology class $\phi \in H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}_p)$, then ϕ describes a regular covering space which we will denote X_ϕ with $\mathbb{Z}_p$ as group of covering transformations.

There is a generator T_ϕ for the group of covering transformations such that $T^{\phi[\gamma]}$ sends the initial point of the lift of a loop γ to the terminal point of the lift of γ. Similarly if X is the complement of a codimension-2 submanifold Y of a manifold Z and ϕ assigns to all the meridians of Y a non-zero value, we may complete X_ϕ uniquely to a branched $\mathbb{Z}_p$- cyclic cover of Z branched along Y, which we will also denote by Z_ϕ. Note in this case ϕ is a cohomology class of X but not of Y. If $S \subset X$ or $S \subset Z$, we let S_ϕ denote the inverse image in X_ϕ or Z_ϕ. Then the group $\mathbb{Z}_p$, generated by the covering transformation T_ϕ, acts on S_ϕ with orbit space S. Let $\mathcal{E}_j(S_\phi)$ denote the $\zeta_p = e^{2\pi i/p}$-eigenspace for the action of T on $H_j(S_\phi, \mathbb{C})$. If Z_ϕ is the branched $\mathbb{Z}_p$- cyclic cover of a 4-manifold (possibly with boundary) Z branched along a proper surface Y (possibly empty), then there is a Hermitian intersection form on $\mathcal{E}_2(Z_\phi)$. Let $\mathrm{Sign}_{\mathcal{E}}(W_\phi)$ denote the signature of the Hermitian intersection form on $\mathcal{E}_2(W)$. When it will not cause confusion, we omit the subscript ϕ, and write T for T_ϕ, $\mathcal{E}_2(Z)$ for $\mathcal{E}_2(Z_\phi)$, and $\mathrm{Sign}_{\mathcal{E}}(W)$ for $\mathrm{Sign}_{\mathcal{E}}(W_\phi)$.

If $0 < a < p-1$, then $T_{a\phi} = {T_\phi}^a$. Thus the ζ_p eigenspace for $T_{a\phi}$ is also the ${\zeta_p}^{\hat{a}}$ eigenspace for T_ϕ, where $a\hat{a} = 1 \pmod p$. Thus [TW, Lemma 7.2] there are isomorphisms induced by Galois automorphisms of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ between $\mathcal{E}_i(S_\phi)$ and $\mathcal{E}_i(S_{a\phi})$. Moreover, if we change ϕ to $-\phi$, this induced automorphism is complex conjugation. So $\mathrm{Sign}_{\mathcal{E}}(W_{-\phi}) = \mathrm{Sign}_{\mathcal{E}}(W_\phi)$.

3.2. **Definitions of signature and nullity.** Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the least positive integer which annihilates $H_1(\mathcal{Q})$, and p be a prime which does not divide $\mathcal{O}$ (or equivalently does not divide the order of $H_1(\mathcal{Q})$). If L is an oriented link L in Q, there is a unique homomorphism $\phi_{L,p} : H_1(\mathcal{Q} \setminus L) \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ which takes the value of one on the positive meridians of L. Given $x \in H_1(Q \setminus L)$, then $\phi_{L,p}$ can be specified by

$\mathcal{O}\phi_{L,p}(x) \equiv \mathcal{O}\,\mathrm{Lk}(K,L) \pmod p$. Here Lk denotes the linking number which takes values in $(1/\mathcal{O})\mathbb{Z}$, and we represent x by an oriented link J_x in $\mathcal{Q}\setminus L$. We will drop the subscript p from now on.

Suppose W is a 4-manifold with $\partial W = \mathcal{Q}$ and G is a proper surface in W with boundary $L \subset \mathcal{Q}$ with no closed components, and there is an extension of ϕ_L to $H_1(W\setminus G)$.

Let G' be an arbitrary transverse push-off of G and let $G\circ G'$ denote a signed count of the double points. Let $L' = \partial G'$, the push-off of L in Q specified by G'. Let $\mathrm{Lk}(L,L')$ denote the sum of the linking numbers of components of L with the components of L'.

We define for $0<a<p$,

$$\sigma_{a/p}(L) = \mathrm{Sign}_{\mathcal{E}}(W) - \mathrm{Sign}(W) + \frac{2}{p^2}a(p-a)\left(G\circ G' - \mathrm{Lk}(\partial G, \partial G')\right) \tag{3}$$

$$\eta_p(L) = \dim\left(\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{Q})\right). \tag{4}$$

where we have equipped $W\setminus G$ with an extension of $a\phi_L$. Using the above remarks about Galois automorphisms, $\dim\left(\mathcal{E}_i(\partial W)\right)$ is independent of a, we do not need to index η with a. Similarly we have that $\sigma_{a/p}(L) = \sigma_{(p-a)/p}(L)$. If a is not in the range $0<a<p$ and a is not divisible by p, we define $\sigma_{a/p}(L) = \sigma_{c/p}(L)$ where $0<a<p$ and $c = a \pmod p$.

But such a (W,G) as defined above may not exist. (It will exist if we take $\mathcal{Q}$ to be Q). But, if not, there is anyway such a (W,G) whose boundary is p copies of $(\mathcal{Q},L)$. Then one modifies the definition of $\sigma_{a/p}(L)$ by taking $1/p$ times the output of the above formula. Proofs that these invariants are well-defined can be found in [G2, G3].

If L is null-homologous in $\mathcal{Q}$, then one may calculate these signatures and nullities in the usual way from a Seifert surface and Seifert pairing. To see this push interior of the Seifert surface into the interior of $\mathcal{Q}\times I$, The familiar argument for links in S^3 now applies to calculate $\mathrm{Sign}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{Q}\times I)$. Complete the argument by noting that the Casson-Gordon invariant of a trivial cover of $\mathcal{Q}$ by p copies of $\mathcal{Q}$ is zero.

It turns out another parameterization of the signatures is sometimes useful.

$$\mathrm{sig}_{b/p}(L) = \sigma_{\mathcal{O}b/p}(L). \tag{5}$$

We define invariants $\mathrm{sig}_{b/p}(C)$ and $\eta_p(C)$ of the introduction, by these same functions applied to $L(C)$ in Q. Note that $\mathcal{O}=2$, in the case $\mathcal{Q}=Q$.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

As observed in the introduction, if C is the real part of a dividing real algebraic curve of degree m with its complex orientation, then $L(C)$ (with its complex orientation) is the boundary of a connected orientable surface G properly embedded in D with $\beta_1(G) = (m-1)(m-2)/2$. Using, for instance, a Mayer-Vietoris sequence for D as the union of $D\setminus G$ and a tubular neighborhood of G, one has that

$$\beta_i(D\setminus G, \mathbb{Z}_p) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i=1, \text{ with the homology generated by a meridian} \\ 0 & \text{if } i=3 \end{cases}. \tag{6}$$

Let a be a nonzero element of $\mathbb{Z}_p$. The $\mathbb{Z}_p$-branched cover of Q along $L(C)$ given by $a\phi_L$ (see section 3.2) extends to a unique $\mathbb{Z}_p$-branched cover of D along

G. Estimates due to Turaev-Viro [TV] (see also [V2], [G3, Lemma 7.2] [G1, Prop. 1.4,1.5]) allow us to see that

$$\dim \mathcal{E}_i(D \setminus G) = 0 \quad \text{if } i = 1 \text{ or } 3 \ .$$

Since the euler characteristic of the graded group $\{\mathcal{E}_i(D \setminus G)\}_i$ equals the euler characteristic of $D \setminus G$ or $\chi(D) - \chi(G)$ (by [G1, Prop 1,1] for instance), it follows that $\dim(\mathcal{E}_2(D \setminus G)) = (m-1)(m-2)/2$. Using a Mayer-Vietoris sequence to calculate the (invisible) effect of gluing back the branch set,

$$\dim \mathcal{E}_i(D) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 1 \\ (m-1)(m-2)/2 & \text{if } i = 2 \\ 0 & \text{if } i = 3 \end{cases} .$$

Let $\mathcal{EI}$ denote a matrix for the intersection pairing on $\mathcal{E}_2(D)$. We have the long exact sequence of the pair:

$$\mathcal{E}_2(D) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{EI}} \mathcal{E}_2(D, Q)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_1(Q) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_1(D) = 0$$

It follows that $|\operatorname{Sign}(\mathcal{EI})| + \operatorname{nullity}(\mathcal{EI}) \leq (m-1)(m-2)/2$, and that $\eta_p(L(C)) = \operatorname{nullity}(\mathcal{EI})$. Note that $\operatorname{Sign}(D) = 0$, and if we choose a push-off G' of G which misses G entirely, then $\operatorname{Lk}(\partial G, \partial G') = 0$. Thus, by definition, $\sigma_{a/p}(L(C)) = \operatorname{Sign}(\mathcal{EI})$.

Thus we have $|\sigma_{a/p}(L(C))| + \eta_p(L(C)) \leq (m-1)(m-2)/2$. Letting $a = 2b$, we obtain the sought for formula. □

We remark that we do not lose any information by restricting to $a = 2b$, as $\sigma_{a/p}(L(C)) = \sigma_{(p-a)/p}(L(C))$, and one of a and $p - a$ must be even.

5. Casson-Gordon invariants of certain graph manifolds

Casson and Gordon [CG] defined certain invariants $\sigma(M, \phi)$ (they are disguised forms of the Atiyah-Singer α-invariant) of a closed 3-manifold equipped to a finite cyclic cover specified by a character $\phi : H_1(M_\Gamma) \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ (we only consider the prime case in this paper). They are discussed in [G1, G2] where we define a related nullity invariant $\eta(M, \phi)$ which is just the dimension of the $e^{2\pi i/p}$-eigenspace for the action of the generating covering transformation on the first homology of the cover associated to ϕ.

If Γ is a connected tree whose vertices are weighted by integers, let W_Γ denote the 4-manifold given by plumbing disk bundles over 2-spheres according to Γ. In this section, we consider any tree, i.e. our graph does not need to be related to a complex scheme in $\mathbb{R}P(2)$. Let A_Γ be the matrix associated to the weighted tree Γ, or equivalently the matrix for the intersection form on $H_2(W_\Gamma)$ with respect to the basis of $H_2(W_\Gamma)$ given by the fundamental classes of the 2-spheres.

Let M_Γ denote the boundary of W_Γ. It is a graph manifold. We have that $H_1(M_\Gamma)$ is generated by the oriented fibers of the circles bundles (on the boundary) over points on the 2-sphere bases away from where the plumbing takes place. Relations among these generators are given by the columns of A_Γ. These columns form a complete set of relations. Every connected regular $\mathbb{Z}_p$- covering space of M_Γ (with a choice of generator of the group of covering transformations) can be specified by a row vector $\vec{\mathfrak{c}}$ such that $\vec{\mathfrak{c}} A_\Gamma \equiv 0 \pmod p$. Moreover $\vec{\mathfrak{c}}$ is not congruent to zero modulo p. We call $\vec{\mathfrak{c}}$ a p-characterisitic vector. If two such vectors agree modulo p, they describe the same cover. We can uniquely specify the cover by

insisting that the entries of $\vec{\mathfrak{c}}$ lie in the range $[0, p-1]$. Let $\phi_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}} : H_1(M_\Gamma) \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ denote the non-zero homomorphism which classifies the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-regular covering space which is specified by the vector $\vec{\mathfrak{c}}$.

We sometimes record this extra information for a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-covering space of M_Γ by labeling the vertices with the corresponding entrie of $\vec{\mathfrak{c}}$ in parenthesis. Then the compatibility condition $\vec{\mathfrak{c}}_\Gamma A_\Gamma \equiv 0 \pmod p$ becomes a local condition at each vertex: the values in parenthesis times the weight plus the sum of the entries in parentheses of the adjoining vertices should be zero modulo p. We will say $\vec{\mathfrak{c}}$ is p-characteristic for Γ at a vertex $\mathfrak{v}$ if this last condition holds, i.e. $(\vec{\mathfrak{c}}A_\Gamma)_{\mathfrak{v}} \equiv 0 \pmod p$.

If $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, let $r_p(x)$ denote the unique integer congruent to x modulo p in the range $[0, p-1]$. If $\vec{x}$ is instead a vector in ${\mathbb{Z}_p}^n$, for some n, $r_p(\vec{x})$ is defined in this same way but entry by entry.

- If $\mathfrak{v}$ is a vertex of Γ, and $\vec{\mathfrak{c}}$ is vector indexed by the vertices of Γ, we let $\vec{\mathfrak{c}}_{\mathfrak{v}}$ be the coefficient of $\vec{\mathfrak{c}}$ associated to $\mathfrak{v}$. We continue to use r_p, as in the introduction, for: reduction modulo p in the range $[0, p-1]$.
- Let $Z_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}$ be the subgraph of Γ consisting of vertices $\mathfrak{v}$ such that $r_p(\vec{\mathfrak{c}}_{\mathfrak{v}}) = 0$ and all the edges joining such vertices to each other. Here Z stands for zero.
- We let $\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}$ be the subgraph of Γ consisting of vertices $\mathfrak{v}$ such that $r_p(\vec{\mathfrak{c}}_{\mathfrak{v}}) = 0$ that are not connected by an edge to any vertex $\mathfrak{w}$ with $r_p(\vec{\mathfrak{c}}_{\mathfrak{w}}) \neq 0$, and all the edges joining such vertices to each other. Here we can think of $\mathfrak{Z}$ as standing for "zero and not contiguous to non-zero."
- Let $e_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}$ be the number of edges in Γ that join two vertices, say $\mathfrak{v}$ and $\mathfrak{w}$, with $r_p(\vec{\mathfrak{c}}_{\mathfrak{v}}) \neq 0$ and $r_p(\vec{\mathfrak{c}}_{\mathfrak{w}}) \neq 0$.

Theorem 5.1. *We have that*

$$\sigma(M_\Gamma, \phi_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}) = \frac{2}{p^2}\left(r_p(\vec{\mathfrak{c}})A_\Gamma r_p(-\vec{\mathfrak{c}})^t\right) + \mathrm{Sign}(A_{\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}}) - e_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}} - \mathrm{Sign}(A_\Gamma) \tag{7}$$

$$\eta(M_\Gamma, \phi_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}) = \mathrm{nullity}(A_{\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}}) + |v(\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}})| - 2|v(Z_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}})| + |v(\Gamma)| - e_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}} - 1 \tag{8}$$

Proof. This result, in the case that $r_p(\vec{\mathfrak{c}}_{\mathfrak{v}}) \neq 0$ for all vertices $\mathfrak{v}$ of Γ, was proved in [G1]. The formula for $\sigma(M_\Gamma, \phi_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}})$, in this special case, was reproved in a very different way in [G4]. We let $\mathcal{N}_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}$ be the subgraph consisting of vertices $\mathfrak{v}$ such that $r_p(\vec{\mathfrak{c}}_{\mathfrak{v}}) \neq 0$ and all the edges joining such vertices to each other. Here $\mathcal{N}$ stands for non-zero. Let $\vec{\mathfrak{c}}_{\mathcal{N}}$ be the corresponding subvector of $\vec{\mathfrak{c}}$. Then $\vec{\mathfrak{c}}_{\mathcal{N}}$ is p-characteristic for $\mathcal{N}_c$ and is non-zero modulo p at each vertex. Recall $A_{\mathcal{N}_c}$ denotes the matrix associated to $\mathcal{N}_c$. Then we note that $r_p(\vec{\mathfrak{c}})A_\Gamma(r_p(-\vec{\mathfrak{c}}))^t = r_p(\vec{\mathfrak{c}}_{\mathcal{N}})A_{\mathcal{N}_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}}(r_p(-\vec{\mathfrak{c}}_{\mathcal{N}}))^t$. Thus according to [G1, (3.7) (3.8)]

$$\sigma(M_{\mathcal{N}_c}, \phi_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}_{\mathcal{N}}}) = \frac{2}{p^2}\left(r_p(\vec{\mathfrak{c}})A_\Gamma r_p(-\vec{\mathfrak{c}})^t\right) - e_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}} - \mathrm{Sign}(A_{\mathcal{N}_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}}) \tag{9}$$

$$\eta_p(M_{\mathcal{N}_c}, \phi_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}_{\mathcal{N}}}) = 0 \tag{10}$$

Let $\mathfrak{J}_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}$ denote the set of edges of Γ which join vertices of $\mathcal{N}_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}$ to vertices of $Z_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}$. One can construct W_Γ by "plumbing" together the two plumbings $W_{\mathcal{N}_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}}$ and $W_{Z_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}}$ along copies of $D^2 \times D^2$ that are indexed $\mathfrak{J}_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}$. Suppose each copy of $D^2 \times \{0\}$ lies on a 2-sphere indexed by $Z_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}$, and each copy of $\{0\} \times D^2$ lies on a 2-sphere indexed by $\mathcal{N}_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}$. Let $W'_{Z_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}}$ be $W_{Z_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}}$ with a copy of $\mathrm{Int}(D^2) \times D^2$ deleted at each of these plumbing sites indexed by $\mathfrak{J}_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}$. So W_Γ is obtained by gluing together $W_{\mathcal{N}_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}}$ and $W'_{Z_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}}$ along copies of

$S^1 \times D^2$ that are indexed by $\mathfrak{J}_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}$. We will denote these solid tori by T_j where $j \in \mathfrak{J}_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}$. The homomorphism $\phi_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}_{\mathcal{N}}}$ is non-zero on the cores of the T_j. Within W_Γ, there is a cobordism V with boundary $M_\Gamma \sqcup -M_{\mathcal{N}_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}}$ which can be constructed by attaching $W'_{Z_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}}$ to $(-\partial M_{\mathcal{N}_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}}) \times I$ along the union of the solid tori T_j. The homomorphism $\phi_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}_{\mathcal{N}}}$ extends uniquely to all of $H_1(V)$. Thus V and the associated $\mathbb{Z}_p$-cover of V can be used to compute $\sigma(M_\Gamma, \phi_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}) - \sigma(M_{\mathcal{N}_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}}, \phi_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}_{\mathcal{N}}})$. One can also obtain V by deleting $(W_{\mathcal{N}_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}} \setminus$ a collar on its boundary) from W_Γ . Thus

$$\mathrm{Sign}(V) = \mathrm{Sign}(A_\Gamma) - \mathrm{Sign}(A_{\mathcal{N}_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}}).$$

There is a deformation retraction of $W'_{Z_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}}$ to the 2-complex X given as a union of some 2-spheres $S_{\mathfrak{v}}$ and indexed by $\mathfrak{v} \in v(\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}})$ and some punctured 2-spheres[1] $S_{\mathfrak{w}}$ indexed by $\mathfrak{w} \in v(Z_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}) \setminus v(\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}})$. These spheres and punctured spheres are identified along points as specified by the edges of the tree $Z_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}$. The cover of each punctured two sphere is a connected surface with non-empty boundary and so has no 2-dimensional homology.

As $\mathcal{E}_*(T_j) = 0$, $\mathcal{E}_2(V) = \mathcal{E}_2(\partial(\mathcal{N}_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}) \times I) \oplus \mathcal{E}_2(W'_{Z_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}})$, and the summand $\mathcal{E}_2(\partial(\mathcal{N}_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}) \times I)$ is in the radical of the intersection pairing on $\mathcal{E}_2(V)$. Thus $\mathrm{Sign}_{\mathcal{E}}(V) = \mathrm{Sign}_{\mathcal{E}}(W'_{Z_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}})$. Recall that $W'_{Z_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}}$ and its cover deformation retract to the 2-complex X and its cover, respectively. The connected covers of the punctured 2-spheres have no 2-dimensional homology while the trivial covers of the connected 2-spheres each contribute one generator to $\mathcal{E}_2(X)$. We have that $\mathcal{E}_2(W'_{Z_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}}) = \mathcal{E}_2(W_{\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}})$, and the Hermitian intersection form is given by $A_{\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}}$. Thus $\mathrm{Sign}_{\mathcal{E}}(V) = \mathrm{Sign}(A_{\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}})$. One may conclude that

(11)

$$\sigma(M_\Gamma, \phi_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}) - \sigma(M_{\mathcal{N}_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}}, \phi_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}_{\mathcal{N}}}) = \mathrm{Sign}_{\mathcal{E}}(V) - \mathrm{Sign}(V) = \mathrm{Sign}(A_{\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}}) - \mathrm{Sign}(A_\Gamma) + \mathrm{Sign}(A_{\mathcal{N}_c}).$$

The formula for $\sigma(M_\Gamma, \phi_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}})$ follows from (9) and (11).

We can also view

$$M_\Gamma = (M_{\mathcal{N}_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}} \setminus \sqcup T_j) \cup_{\cup_j \partial T_j} \left(\partial(W'_{Z_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}}) \setminus \sqcup_j T_j\right)$$

By (10), $\mathcal{E}_1(M_{\mathcal{N}_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}}) = 0$. Since $\mathcal{E}_1(\partial T_j) = 0$, and $\mathcal{E}_1(T_j) = 0$, we may conclude that $\mathcal{E}_1\left(M_{\mathcal{N}_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}} \setminus \sqcup_j T_j\right) = 0$. Similarly one has that

$$\mathcal{E}_1\left(\partial(W'_{Z_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}}) \setminus \sqcup_j T_j\right) \approx \mathcal{E}_1\left(\partial(W'_{Z_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}})\right).$$

Thus

$$\eta(M_\Gamma, \phi_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}) = \dim\left(\mathcal{E}_1\left(M_\Gamma\right)\right) = \dim\left(\mathcal{E}_1\left(\partial(W'_{Z_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}})\right)\right)$$

The connected cover of X has eigenspace homology that is concentrated in dimensions one, and two. Viewing $W'_{Z_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}}$ as the union of the inverse images of $|v(\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}})|$ 2-spheres and $\mathfrak{J}_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}$ punctured 2-spheres and using an eigenspace Mayer-Vietoris sequence, we have that

$$\dim\left(\mathcal{E}_2\left(W'_{Z_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}}\right)\right) = |v(\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}})|$$

Moreover the euler characteristic of X is easily seen to be $2|v(Z_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}})| - e_0$, where e_0 is the number of edges of Γ, for which for at least one of its endpoints $\mathfrak{v}$, we have $r_p(\vec{\mathfrak{c}}_{\mathfrak{v}}) = 0$. We have that $e_0 = |v(\Gamma)| - e_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}} - 1$. But the euler characteristic of $\mathcal{E}_*(X)$ is also the euler characteristic of X. It follows that

$$\dim(\mathcal{E}_1(W'_{Z_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}})) = \dim\left(\mathcal{E}_2\left(W'_{Z_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}}}\right)\right) - \chi(\mathcal{E}_*(X)) = |v(\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}})| - 2|v(Z_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}})| + |v(\Gamma)| - e_{\vec{\mathfrak{c}}} - 1$$

[1]By a punctured 2-sphere, we actually mean a 2-sphere with an open disk neighborhoods of some isolated points removed.

We have an exact sequence:

$$\mathcal{E}_2(W'_{Z_{\vec{\epsilon}}}) \xrightarrow{A_{Z_{\vec{\epsilon}}}} \mathcal{E}_2(W'_{Z_{\vec{\epsilon}}}, \partial(W'_{Z_{\vec{\epsilon}}})) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_1(\partial(W'_{Z_{\vec{\epsilon}}})) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_1(W'_{Z_{\vec{\epsilon}}}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where the last term is zero as $\mathcal{E}_1(W'_{Z_{\vec{\epsilon}}}, \partial(W'_{Z_{\vec{\epsilon}}})) = \mathcal{E}^3(W'_{Z_{\vec{\epsilon}}}) = \mathcal{E}^3(X) = 0$. This gives the formula for $\eta(M_\Gamma, \phi_{\vec{\epsilon}})$. Here we use $\mathcal{E}^i$ to denote the eigenspace in the ith-cohomology of the cover.

□

6. Formulas for signatures of graph links

Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-homology sphere, and L be an oriented link in $\mathcal{Q}$ which can be described as a graph link by a plumbing graph Γ which is further decorated by signed arrows. Let s be the vector indexed by the vertices of Γ whose vth entry is the signed count of arrows with tail at v. We let $\delta = \vec{s} {A_\Gamma}^{-1} \vec{s}^{\,t} \in \mathbb{Q}$. We denote by $\vec{u}$ the vector indexed by $V(\Gamma)$ with the v-th entry of $-s(A_\Gamma)^{-1}$. The entries of $\vec{u}$ lie in $\mathbb{Q}(p)$, the ring of rational numbers whose denominators not divisible by p. Let Γ^+ be obtained from Γ by converting arrowheads into vertices weighted zero and form $\vec{u}^+$ by assigning ± 1 to these new vertices according to the sign of the arrows. The vector $\vec{u}^+$ will not in general be p-characteristic for Γ^+, but we still define $\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{u}^+}$, $A_{\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{u}^+}}$, and $e_{\vec{u}^+}$ as in the previous section.

If $x = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \in \mathbb{Q}(p)$ where α and β is not divisible by p, we can pick an integer β^* such that $\beta\beta^* = 1 \pmod p$ and define $r_p(x) = r_p(\alpha\beta^*)$. We extend r_p to vectors in the same way as before.

Theorem 6.1. *For $0 < a < p$, and for L described in the paragraph above, we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{a/p}(L) =& \frac{2}{p^2}\left(r_p(a\vec{u}^+)A_{\Gamma^+}r_p(-a\vec{u}^+)^t + a(p-a)(\delta)\right) - \\ & \operatorname{Sign}(A_\Gamma) + \operatorname{Sign}(A_{\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{u}^+}}) - e_{\vec{u}^+} \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

$$\eta_p(L) = \operatorname{nullity}(A_{\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{u}^+}}) + |v(\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{u}^+})| - 2|v(Z_{\vec{u}^+})| + |v(\Gamma^+)| - e_{\vec{u}^+} - 1 \tag{13}$$

One has that $\vec{u}^+$ is p-characteristic for Γ^+ at every vertex of Γ.

Proof. Suppose that we have picked W, a 4-manifold with $\partial W = \mathcal{Q}$, and G, a proper surface in W with boundary $L \subset \mathcal{Q}$ with no closed components, and an extension of ϕ_L to $W \setminus G$. So by (3),

$$\operatorname{Sign}_{\mathcal{E}}(W) - \operatorname{Sign}(W) = \sigma_{a/p}(L) + \frac{2}{p^2} a(p-a)\left(\operatorname{Lk}(\partial G, \partial G') - G \circ G'\right) \tag{14}$$

We have described L as a graph link. Each description of a graph link L leads to a choice of a push-off L' of L. This push-off consists of a nearby fiber in the graph manifold description. We can and do pick G' so that $\partial G' = L'$ with respect to our graph link description. By Proposition A.1, one has that $\operatorname{Lk}(L, L') = -\delta$.

We can do framed surgery to $\mathcal{Q}$ along L so that ϕ_L extends to the result of surgery $\mathcal{Q}^*$. Let f_i for $1 \le i \le \beta_0(L)$ denote the framings of this surgery with respect to the push-off L'. These f_i are only determined modulo p, but fix a choice. Let U be the result of adding the corresponding 2-handles to $\mathcal{Q} \times I$. Let F denote the cores of the 2-handles union $L \times I$. We have that ϕ_L extends uniquely to $U \setminus F$.

We also consider $V = W \cup_{\mathcal{Q}} U$ with boundary $\mathcal{Q}^*$, containing the closed surface $E = G \cup_L F$. We note that there is a push-off E' of E such that

$$E \circ E' = G \circ G' + f \text{ where } f = \sum_{i=1}^{\beta_0(L)} f_i.$$

The extension of ϕ_L to $W \setminus G$ and $U \setminus F$ gives us an cohomology class which we denote simply by $\phi \in H^1(V \setminus E, \mathbb{Z}_p)$. We will also use ϕ for its various restrictions to subsets. In the rest of the proof, we wish to discuss the branched cover of V along E classified by $a\phi$. We will omit the use of $a\phi$ as a subscript. As in [G1, Prop 3.5], the Casson-Gordon invariant is given by

$$\sigma(\mathcal{Q}^*, a\phi) = \text{Sign}_{\mathcal{E}}(V) - \text{Sign}(V) + 2a(p-a)/p^2\,(G \circ G' + f) \tag{15}$$

One may see that $\text{Sign}_{\mathcal{E}}(U) = 0$. Novikov additivity gives us that

$$\text{Sign}_{\mathcal{E}}(V) = \text{Sign}_{\mathcal{E}}(W) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Sign}(V) = \text{Sign}(W) + \text{Sign}(U) \tag{16}$$

By (14), (15), and (16), we have that:

$$\sigma(\mathcal{Q}^*, a\phi) = \sigma_{a/p}(L) + \frac{2}{p^2} a(p-a)\,(\text{Lk}(L, L') + f) - \text{Sign}(U)$$

We can see $\mathcal{Q}^*$ as a graph manifold, we start with the plumbing diagram $\Gamma(C)$, which we will denote by simply Γ, as in section 1.5. Recall Γ is decorated with signed arrows representing the components of L. We enlarge Γ by converting the arrowheads to vertices weighted by the f_i chosen above. Let this new weighted graph be denoted Γ^*. We have that $\mathcal{Q}^* = M_{\Gamma^*}$. Also by Novikov additivity,

$$\text{Sign}(U) = \text{Sign}(A_{\Gamma^*}) - \text{Sign}(A_\Gamma)$$

Combining these results we have:

$$\sigma(\mathcal{Q}^*, a\phi) = \sigma_{a/p}(L) + \frac{2}{p^2} a(p-a)(f-\delta) + \text{Sign}(A_\Gamma) - \text{Sign}(A_{\Gamma^*}) \tag{17}$$

Our derivation will proceed by using Theorem 5.1 to evaluate $\sigma(\mathcal{Q}^*, a\phi)$ and then solve (17) for $\sigma_{a/p}(L)$. For this, we need to know the vector $\vec{u}^*$ which encodes the values of ϕ on the meridians of the surgery description of $\mathcal{Q}^*$ that is given by Γ^*. By Proposition A.2, the vector $\vec{u}$ indexed by $v \in V(\Gamma)$ has v-th entry given by the value of ϕ on a meridian to the 2-sphere indexed by v. Let $\vec{u}^*$ be the vector indexed by $v(\Gamma^*)$ obtained by adjoining to the vector $\vec{u}$ some extra entries, indexed by the vertices which replace the arrowheads of the arrows, which are ± 1 according to the signs on the arrows. Then $r_p(a\vec{u}^*)$ encodes the values of $a\phi$ on the meridians of the surgery description of $\mathcal{Q}^*$, and $\vec{u}^*$ is p-characteristic for Γ^*. We note that $\vec{u}^*$ agrees with $\vec{u}^+$. As the weights of Γ^+ and Γ^* agree on the vertices of Γ, we see the last claim of the theorem holds. By Theorem 5.1:

$$\sigma(\mathcal{Q}^*, a\phi) = \frac{2}{p^2}\left(r_p(a\vec{u}^*) A_{\Gamma^*} r_p(-a\vec{u}^*)^t\right) + \text{Sign}(A_{\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{u}^*}}) - e_{\vec{u}^*} - \text{Sign}(A_{\Gamma^*}). \tag{18}$$

Using (18) to substitute for $\sigma(\mathcal{Q}^*, a\phi)$ in (17) then simplifying we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{a/p}(L) = &\frac{2}{p^2}\left(r_p(a\vec{u}^*) A_{\Gamma^*} r_p(-a\vec{u}^*)^t + a(p-a)(\delta - f)\right) - \\ &\text{Sign}(A_\Gamma) + \text{Sign}(A_{\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{u}^*}}) - e_{\vec{u}^*}.\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

We see that the right hand side of (19) remains the same if we simultaneously replace all the f_i by zero, Γ^* by Γ^+, $\vec{u}^*$ by $\vec{u}^+$. So we make this simplifying substitution and obtain (12).

We also have that $\mathcal{Q}_\phi$ and $\mathcal{Q}^*_\phi$ are related by surgery on a link with $\beta_0(L)$ components. One may use the eigenspace Mayer-Vietoris sequences coming from this surgery to see that $\eta_p(L) = \eta(\mathcal{Q}^*, \phi)$. Thus we obtain

$$\eta_p(L) = \text{nullity}(A_{\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{u}^*}}) + |v(\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{u}^*})| - 2|Z_{\vec{u}^*}| + |v(\Gamma^*)| - e_{\vec{u}^*} - 1. \tag{20}$$

The values of $\vec{u}^*$ on the vertices added to Γ to form Γ^+ are non-zero. So $\text{nullity}(A_{\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{u}^*}}) = \text{nullity}(A_{\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{u}^+}})$, $\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{u}^*} = \mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{u}^+}$ and $Z_{\vec{u}^*} = Z_{\vec{u}^+}$. In this way, we obtain (13) from (20) □

7. Proofs of Theorem 1.3, and Propositions 1.5, 1.6, 1.7, 1.8, and 1.9

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We apply Theorem 6.1 with $\mathcal{Q} = Q$, $L = L(C) = \mathcal{L}(\Gamma(C))$ constructed in section 1.5 Then we let $a = 2b$, and note $a\vec{u}^+ = b\vec{c}^+$, and observe $2\delta = \Delta$. We recall that $\text{Sign}(A_{\Gamma(C)}) = 2$ by Theorem 2.1. Taking into account, the two notations for signature and nullity related in equations 5, we obtain:

$$\text{sig}_{b/q}(C) = \frac{2}{p^2}\left(r_p(b\vec{c}^+)A_{\Gamma^+}r_p(-b\vec{c}^+)^t + b(p-2b)\Delta\right) + \text{Sign}(A_{\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{c}^+}}) - e_{\vec{c}^+} - 2$$

$$\eta_p(C) = \text{nullity}(A_{\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{c}^+}}) + |v(\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{c}^+})| - 2|v(Z_{\vec{c}^+})| + |v(\Gamma^+)| - e_{\vec{c}^+} - 1$$

In the introduction we used notation which emphasized the role of p: we have that $\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{c}^+} = \mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{c},p}$, $e_{\vec{c},p} = e_{\vec{c}^+}$, and $z_{\vec{c},p} = v(Z_{\vec{c}^+})$. Also $r_p(\pm b\vec{c}^+) = r_p(\pm bh)$, as $h = r_p(\vec{c}^+)$. In this we way, we obtain the stated formulas for $\text{sig}_{b/p}(C)$. As the Euler characteristic of the tree Γ^+ is one, $\mathfrak{E}_{\vec{c},p} = |v(\Gamma^+)| - e_{\vec{c},p} - 1$. We use this to rewrite the formula for $\eta_p(C)$. □

Proof of Proposition 1.5. Form an auxiliary curve C' by drawing a parallel curve to each oval of C and, if C is of odd type, add a curve which is near J and meets J in one point. Then by Proposition A.2, $\text{Lk}(L(C), L(C')) = -\Delta/2$. On the other hand according to [G2, Prop 7.1], $\text{Lk}(L(C), L(C')) = 2(l + 2(\Pi^- - \Pi^+) + (\Lambda^- - \Lambda^+))$. □

Proof of Propositions 1.6 and 1.7. It follows from Proposition A.2 that the entries in $\vec{c}$ corresponding to a vertex of Γ is twice the linking numbers of the fiber over the 2-sphere indexed by that vertex with $L(C)$ in Q. These linking numbers can be calculated from the statement of Theorem 2.1 and the linking numbers of knots in Q lying over ovals and one-sided curves in $\mathbb{R}P(2)$ as specified in [G2, Remark (3.1)]. In this way, one may calculate all the entries in $\vec{c}$ except $\vec{c}_{u_1}$ and $\vec{c}_{u_3}$.

To calculates $\vec{c}_{u_3}$, we need the linking number of J, the fiber over u_2, with the fiber over u_3. This linking number is $\frac{1}{2}$, and can be calculated as the $(2,3)$ entry of the inverse of

$$-\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We also use a diffeomorphism of Q which interchanges the fibers over u_2 and u_3 leaving the fibers over other vertices of Γ fixed to conclude the linking number of the fiber over u_2 to a components of $L(C)$ lieing over an oval agree with the linking numbers of J with that component.

Finally one can determine $\vec{c}_{u_1}$ from $\vec{c}_{u_2}$, $\vec{c}_{u_3}$, and $\vec{c}_{R_1}$ and the fact that $\vec{c}$ is p-characteristic for Γ^+ at u_3 for all p. Here we use the last claim made in Theorem 6.1. □

Proof of Proposition 1.8. Since $h_{p_{\mathfrak{v}}}$ is non-zero for the added vertices at arrowheads, neither these nor any vertex indexed by an oval can be in $\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{c},p}$. This leaves only the vertices indexed by regions or u_1 or u_3 as possible vertices for $\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{c},p}$. As $\vec{c}_{R_1} = 1$ where R_1 is the outer region, u_1 is adjacent to a vertex with $h_p \neq 0$, and so is not in $\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{c},p}$. □

Proof of Proposition 1.9. Regardless of Λ^- and Λ^+: since $h_{p_{\mathfrak{v}}}$ is non-zero for the added vertices at arrowheads, neither these nor any vertex indexed by an oval can be in $\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{c},p}$. This leaves only the vertices indexed by regions and u_1, u_2, u_3 as possible vertices for $\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{c},p}$.

If $\Lambda^- \neq \Lambda^+ \pmod p$, by proposition 1.7, $\vec{c}_{u_1} = \vec{c}_{u_2} = \vec{c}_{u_3} \neq 0 \pmod p$. Thus u_1, u_2, and u_3 do not belong to $\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{c},p}$. As R_1 is connected to u_1, neither can R_1 belong to $\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{c},p}$.

If $\Lambda^- = \Lambda^+ \pmod p$, by proposition 1.7 $\vec{c}_{u_1} = \vec{c}_{u_2} = \vec{c}_{u_3} = \vec{c}_{R_1} = 0 \pmod p$. The condition $\Pi_o^+ - \Pi_o^- = 1 \pmod p$ is equivalent to $\vec{c}_o = 0$. The result follows □

8. Proof of Theorem 1.4

We begin with a result which includes a generalization of (1) and (2).

Theorem 8.1. *If L is an oriented link in Q, then $\sigma_{2b/p}(L)$ as a function on the set of numbers b/p can be extended to a step function with only finitely many discontinuities on the interval $(0, 1/2)$. Except for finitely many values of p, $\eta_p(L)$ is a constant function of p. One also has that*

$$\sigma_{2b/p}(L) + \eta_p(L) \equiv \beta_0(L) - 1 \pmod 2 \tag{21}$$

$$\eta_p(L) \leq \beta_0(L) - 1 \tag{22}$$

Proof. We note that there are symmetries of Q which induce any permutation of the three nonzero elements of $H_1(Q)$. Also the kernel of the map induced by the inclusion $H_1(Q) \to H_1(D)$ is generated by the class of the fiber. It follows that, given any link $L \subset Q$, we can find a homeomorphism of Q, so that the image of L under this homeomorphism bounds a proper surface G in D. As homeomorphisms leave our invariants unchanged, we may assume without loss of generality that L bounds a proper connected surface in D.

We will let ν stand for tubular neighborhood. Let G' be a push-off of G with no multiple points, and let $\hat{G} = G \sqcup G'$ with boundary $\hat{L} = L \sqcup L'$. By definition, $\sigma_{2b/p}(L)$ is $\mathrm{Sign}_{\mathcal{E}}(W)$ where $W \setminus \nu(G)$ is equipped with the extension of $2b\phi_L$. Here is we make use of the fact that D and therefore W have trivial intersection pairing and also that the linking number of L and L' will be zero (by Proposition A.1). We can identify $\nu(G) \setminus G$ with $G \times (D^2 \setminus \{0\})$ in such a way that the restriction of ϕ_L is trivial on $H_1(G)$. As ϕ is non-trivial on the meridians of G and $\hat{G}$, $\mathcal{E}_*$ vanishes on the sphere bundle of $\nu(G)$, and the sphere bundle of $\nu(\hat{G})$. In this way using Mayer-Vietoris, we have that $\mathrm{Sign}_{\mathcal{E}}(W) = \mathrm{Sign}_{\mathcal{E}}(W \setminus \nu(G))$. and $\sigma_{b/p}(\hat{L}))$ is $\mathrm{Sign}_{\mathcal{E}}(W \setminus \nu(\hat{G}))$ where $W \setminus \nu(\hat{G})$ is equipped with the extension of $b\phi_{\hat{L},p}$. Note we can obtain $W \setminus \nu(\hat{G})$ (equipped the extension of $b\phi_{\hat{L},p}$) from $W \setminus \nu(G)$ (equipped the

extension of $2b\phi_{\hat{L},p}$) by gluing on $G \times D_2$, where D_2 is a disk with two holes. Here $G \times D_2$ is equipped with a cohomology class that is trivial on $H_1(G)$ and sends the outer boundary component of D_2 to $2b$ and the two inner boundary components to b, in the obvious way. The ordinary intersection form on $H_1(G)$ can be given by a block matrix of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_n & 0 \\ -I_n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0_m \end{bmatrix}.$$

One has $\mathcal{E}_*(\partial D_2) = 0$. By an Euler characteristic argument, $\mathcal{E}_*(D_2)$ is concentrated in dimension one where it is one dimensional. It follows that the skew Hermitian intersection form on $\mathcal{E}_1(G \times D_2)$ is given by a 1×1 matrix with a non-zero purely imaginary entry. By the Kunneth Theorem, $\mathrm{Sign}_{\mathcal{E}}(G \times D_2) = 0$. A Mayer-Vietoris then shows that $\mathrm{Sign}_{\mathcal{E}}(W \setminus \nu(\hat{G})) = \mathrm{Sign}_{\mathcal{E}}(W \setminus \nu(G))$, and thus:

$$\sigma_{2b/p}(L) = \sigma_{b/p}(\hat{L}). \tag{23}$$

Since $\hat{L}$ is null-homologous, $\sigma_{2b/p}(L)$ can be computed by taking the signature of $(1-{\zeta_p}^b)V + (1-{\zeta_p}^{-b})V^t$ where V is a Seifert matrix for a Seifert surface for $\hat{L}$. But $\mathrm{Sign}((1-e^{2s\pi i})V + (1-e^{-2s\pi i})V^t)$ is a step function of $s \in (0, 1/2)$ with only finitely many jumps, and is the claimed extension.

One also has that $\eta_p(\hat{L}) = \mathrm{nullity}((1-e^{2b\pi i/p})V + (1-e^{-2b\pi i/p})V^t)$. It is not hard to see that $\mathrm{nullity}((1-e^{2s\pi i})V + (1-e^{-2s\pi i})V^t) = \mathrm{nullity}(V - e^{-2s\pi i}V^t)$ is a constant function of s except at finitely many points $s \in (0, 1/2)$. This nullity is the nullity of $V - xV^t$ over the field of rational functions $\mathbb{C}(x)$.

As $\dim(\mathcal{E}_1(D_2)) = 1$, and $\dim(\mathcal{E}_0(D_2)) = 0$, we have that $\dim(\mathcal{E}_1(L \times D_2)) = \beta_0(L)$. As $\mathcal{E}_*(L \times$ a boundary component of $D_2)$ vanishes, a Mayer-Vietoris sequence now yields

$$\eta_p(\hat{L}) = \eta_p(L) + \beta_0(L). \tag{24}$$

Thus except for finitely many values of p, $\eta_p(L)$ is a constant function of p.

From the Seifert surface point of view, it is easy to see that:

$$\sigma_{b/p}(\hat{L}) + \eta_p(\hat{L}) \equiv \beta_0(\hat{L}) - 1. \tag{25}$$

Using (25), (23) and (24), one obtains (21). One has that $\dim(H_1(Q \setminus L, \mathbb{Z}_p)) = \beta_0(L)$. Then the estimates for eigenspace homology used in section 4 allow us to conclude (22). □

Remark 8.2. The fact that our signatures, parameterized in this way, are the values of a step function was suggested by the work of Cha and Ko [CK]. Although we did not use their work directly, their idea of a generalized Seifert surface suggested $\hat{L}$. We remark that their statement [CK, p.1163] that all the signatures that the first author defined in [G1] can be derived from their signature jump function does not apply to signatures indexed by a non-zero γ [G1, p.310-311].

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Note that $\mathrm{Sign}(A_{\mathfrak{Z}_{\vec{c},p}}) - e_{\vec{c},p} - 2$ takes a constant value on the set of primes which do not divide any nonzero entry of $\vec{c}$. Thus these terms can be replaced in formula for $\mathrm{sig}_{b/p}(C)$ in Theorem 1.3 by a constant function on complement in $(0, 1/2)$ of the set of points whose denominators are primes dividing some non-zero entry of $\vec{c}$.. Again using Theorem 1.3, the nullity $\eta_p(C)$ takes the constant value nul on the set of primes that do not divide some non-zero entry of $\vec{c}$.

The remaining terms in the formula for $\mathrm{sig}_{b/p}(C)$ in Theorem 1.3 can be rewritten to agree with a piecewise smooth function of $x = b/p$ whose only discontinuities are at rational points whose denominators are divisors of a nonzero entry of $\vec{c}$. In fact these remaining terms can be rewritten as

$$2((x\vec{c}+))A_{\Gamma^+}((1-x\vec{c}^{+}))+2x(1-2x),$$

Here $((\vec{v}))$ denotes the entry by entry value of the function which assigns to a real number that number minus the greatest integer less than that number. Thus we have that $\mathrm{sig}_{b/p}(C)$ extends to a piecewise smooth function of $x = b/p$ whose only discontinuities are a rational points whose denominators are divisors of a nonzero entry of $\vec{c}$. But by Theorem 8.1, $\mathrm{sig}_{b/p}(C) = \sigma_{2b/p}(L(C))$ extends to a step function on $(0, 1/2)$. The claimed result follows. □

References

[CG] A. J. Casson and C. McA. Gordon. Cobordism of classical knots. *Progr. Math.***62** 'Á la recherche de la topologie perdue,' 181–199, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1986.

[CK] J.C. Cha, K.H. Ko. Signatures of links in rational homology spheres. *Topology* **41** (2002), no. 6, 1161–1182.

[EN] D. Eisenbud, W. Neumann. Three-dimensional link theory and invariants of plane curve singularities. *Annals of Mathematics Studies,* **110**. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1985.

[F] S. Fiedler-Le Touzé, M-curves of degree 9 or 11 with one unique non-empty oval. arXiv:1412.5313

[G1] P. Gilmer. Configuration of surfaces in 4-manifolds. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc* **264** (1981) 353-380

[G2] P. Gilmer. Real algebraic curves and link cobordism. *Pacific J. Math.* **153** (1992) 31–69

[G3] P. Gilmer. Link cobordism in rational homology 3-spheres. *Journal of Knot theory and its Ramifications* **2** (1993) 285-320

[G4] P. Gilmer. Signatures of singular branched covers. *Math. Ann.***295** (1993), no. 4, 643–659.

[G5] P. Gilmer. Real algebraic curves and link cobordism II. Topology of real algebraic varieties and related topics, 73–84,*Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2,***173**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.

[GL] Gordon, C. McA.; Litherland, R. A. On the signature of a link. Invent. Math. 47 (1978), no. 1, 5369

[HNK] F. Hirzebruch, W. Neumann, S. Koh. Differentiable manifolds and quadratic forms. *Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics* **4**. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1971

[K] R. Kirby. A calculus for framed links in S^3. *Invent. Math.* **45** (1978), 35–56.

[PY] J.Przytycki, A.Yasuhara. Linking numbers in rational homology 3-spheres, cyclic branched covers and infinite cyclic covers. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **356** (2004), 3669–3685.

[R] V.A.Rokhlin. Complex Topological Characteristics. *Uspeki Mat. Nauk.* **35** (1978) 77-89 (Russian) English transl. *Russian Math Surveys* **33** (1978) 85-98

[TW] E.Thomas, J.Wood. On manifolds representing homology classes in codimension 2. *Invent. Math.* **25** (1974), 63–89.

[TV] V. Turaev, O. Ya Viro. Estimates of twisted homology. *Proc. of VII union Topology Conference, Minsk* (1977)

[V1] O. Ya Viro. Progress in the topology of real algebraic varieties over the last six years. *Uspehi Mat. Nauk.* **41** (1986) 45-67 (Russian) English transl. *Russian Math. Surveys* **41** (1986) 55–82

[V2] O. Ya Viro. Twisted acyclicity of a circle and signatures of a link. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications* **18** (2009), no. 6, 729–755.

[W] H. Whitney. Complex Analytic Varieties. Adison-Wesley, Reading, 1972

Appendix A. Linking numbers

Proposition A.1. *Let M be a rational homology sphere and W be a 4-manifold with boundary M. Suppose $K^{(i)}$ for $i \in \{1,2\}$ in are knots in M, and $K^{(i)} = \partial F^{(i)}$, where the $F^{(i)}$ are surfaces in W. Let $\{G_j\}$ be a collection of 2-cycles in the interior of W whose homology classes form a basis for $H_2(W,\mathbb{Q})$, and A the matrix for the intersection pairing on $H_2(W,\mathbb{Q})$ with respect to $\{G_j\}$. Let $\vec{k}^{(i)}$ be the vector with entries $k_j^{(i)} = G_j \circ F^{(i)}$, then*

$$\mathrm{Lk}(K^{(1)}, K^{(2)}) = F^{(1)} \circ F^{(2)} - \vec{k}^{(1)} A^{-1} (\vec{k^{(2)}})^t.$$

Proof. Let $S^{(i)}$ be 2-chains in M with $\mathbb{Q}$ coeficients with boundary $K^{(i)}$. We consider the two rational 2-cycles $z^{(i)} = -S^{(i)} + F^{(i)}$. We have that $G_j \circ z^{(i)} = G_j \circ F^{(i)} = k_j^{(i)}$. If $\vec{b}^{(i)}$ denotes the vector $A^{-1}\vec{k}^{(i)}$, then $z^{(i)}$ is homologous to $\sum b_j^{(i)} G_j$. It follows that

$$z^{(1)} \circ z^{(2)} = \vec{k}^{(1)} A^{-1} (\vec{k^{(2)}})^t.$$

On the other hand, it easy to see geometrically that

$$z^{(1)} \circ z^{(2)} = F^{(1)} \circ F^{(2)} - \mathrm{Lk}(K^{(1)}, K^{(2)}).$$

See the schematic picture in Figure 9 where we have pushed $S^{(2)}$ further into W than $S^{(1)}$ with respect to the collar structure for the boundary of W. □



Figure 9.

The well-known formula for linking numbers of links in surgery descriptions [CK, Theorem 3.1] or [PY, Corollary 1.2)] is a special case of the Proposition A.1. A further special case is:

Proposition A.2. *Suppose a rational homology sphere M is the boundary of plumbing W_Γ along a tree Γ with associated intersection matrix A_Γ. The linking number of the fiber over the ith sphere the fiber over the jth sphere is the (i,j) entry of $-(A_\Gamma)^{-1}$. This also gives the linking number of two distinct fibers when $i = j$.*

Another special case is when W is a rational ball so that the term $\vec{k}^{(1)} A^{-1} \vec{k^{(2)}}^t$ vanishes. This was used in [G2] to calculate linking numbers in the projective tangent bundle and circle tangent bundle of $\mathbb{R}P(2)$.

Appendix B. Mathematica Program to compute $\mathrm{sig}_{b/p}(C)$ and $\eta_p(C)$

```
F=Function[T,
  w={1,2,2,2};e={{1,2},{1,3},{1,4}};s={0,0,0,0}; n=4;
  TT = T - (m=s[[2]]=Coefficient[T,J])*J;
  branch[ Pos[TT], 4, 1 ];
  A=Table[0,{n},{n}];     (* A = adjacency matrix of weighted graph Gamma *)
  Do[ A[[i,i]] = w[[i]],{i,n}];
  Do[ i=e[[ie,1]]; j=e[[ie,2]]; A[[i,j]]=A[[j,i]]=1,{ie,Length[e]}];
  cc = c = -2Inverse[A].s;
  AA=Table[0,{m+n},{m+n}]; Do[AA[[i,j]]=A[[i,j]],{i,n},{j,n}];
            (* AA = adjacency matrix of weighted graph Gamma+ *)
  nn=n; ee=e;
  Do[If[Not[s[[i]]==0],
    AppendTo[cc,2s[[i]]]; nn++;AA[[i,nn]]=AA[[nn,i]]=1; AppendTo[ee,{i,nn}]],
  {i,n}];
  De = 2s.Inverse[A].s;
  h = Mod[cc,p];
  X={};  (* X will be the complement of Z_p in Gamma+  *)
  zp=0;
  Do[
    If[ h[[i]]==0, zp++;
      Do[
        If[j==i,Continue[]];
        If[AA[[i,j]]==0,Continue[]];
        If[h[[j]]==0,Continue[]];
        AppendTo[X,{i}];Break[], (*j<>i & h[[j]]<>0 & [i,j] in e*)
      {j,nn}],
      AppendTo[X,{i}]    (* h[[i]].ne.0, hence i not in Z_p *)
    ],
  {i,nn}];
  n0 = nn - Length[X];              (* n0 = |v(Z_p)| *)
  A0 = If[n0==0, {}, Delete[Transpose[Delete[AA,X]],X]]; (*A0 = A_{Z_p}*)
  e0 = 0;                                (* e0 = e_p *)
  Do[ If[ Not[ h[[ee[[ie,1]]]]*h[[ee[[ie,2]]]]==0 ], e0++ ], {ie,Length[ee]}];
  mu = Mod[b*h,p].AA.Mod[-b*h,p];
  sn = SiNu[A]; sn0 = If[n0==0,{0,0},SiNu[A0]];
  sigbp = 2/p^2(mu+b(p-2b)De) + sn0[[1]] -e0 - 2;
  etap = sn0[[2]] + n0 - 2zp + nn - e0 - 1;
  {sigbp,etap}
];
SiNu=Function[A,Module[{ev,i,sg=0,nu=0},
  ev=Re[Eigenvalues[A]];
  Do[If[ Abs[ev[[i]]]<10.^(-7), nu++, sg += Sign[ev[[i]]] ],
{i,Length[ev]}];
```

```
  {sg,nu}
]];

branch = Function[{R,k,d},Module[{T,t,fl,i,j,len},
  If[ Not[AtomQ[R]],
    T=R[[1]]+zero; len=Length[T];
    Do[
      If[IntegerQ[T[[i]]-zero],Continue[]];
      fl=FactorList[T[[i]]]; t=fl[[2,1]];
      Do[
        e=Join[e,{{k,n+1},{n+1,n+2}}]; w[[k]]-=2;
        sg=If[AtomQ[t],t,t[[0]]];
        w=Join[w,{0,2}]; s=Join[s,{If[IntegerQ[sg-Pos],1,-1](-1)^(d),0}];
        n+=2; m++; branch[t,n,d+1],
      {j,fl[[1,1]]}],
    {i,len}];
  ];
]]
```

The complex scheme $\langle J \amalg 1^- \langle 2^- \rangle \amalg 2^+ \rangle$, for instance is inputted as J+Neg[2Neg]+ 2Pos. Here is a sample computation:

In[1]= p=7; b=2; F[J+Neg[2 Neg]+2 Pos]

Out[1]= {-10,1}

Appendix C. Behavior of sig and nul for schemes of even type

If C is a non-empty complex scheme of even type.

Theorem C.1. *If C is a non-empty complex scheme of even type, then*

$$\mathrm{sig}_x(C) = \mathrm{sig}_{\frac{1}{2}-x}(C).$$

Thus $\mathrm{sig}_x(C)$ *does not have a discontinuity at* $x = \frac{1}{4}$.

Proof. We note that $L(C)$ is null homologous, so there is a Seifert surface for L and a corresponding Seifert matrix V. We have the ordinary signature function σ_ω given by the signature of V_ω where $V_\omega = (1-\omega)V + (1-\omega^{-1})V^T$, and ω lies on the unit circle. We have that $\sigma_\omega = \sigma_{\omega^{-1}}$. Let σ'_ω denote σ_ω redefined at jumps to be the average of the one sided limits except when jumps occur at pth roots of unity, where p is an odd prime. Moreover $\sigma_{a/p}(L(C)) = \sigma_{e^{2\pi ia/p}}$. As points of the form $e^{2\pi ia/p}$ are dense and using the re-parameterization in the definition of $\mathrm{sig}_{b/p}$, we have $\mathrm{sig}_x(C) = \sigma'_{e^{4\pi ix}}L(C))$. Thus

$$\mathrm{sig}_{\frac{1}{2}-x}(C) = \sigma'_{e^{2\pi ix-4\pi ix}}(L(C)) = \sigma'_{e^{-4\pi ix}}(L(C)) = \sigma'_{e^{4\pi ix}}(L(C)) = \mathrm{sig}_x(C)$$

Thus $\mathrm{sig}_x(C)$ is symmetric around $1/4$, and so will be continuous at $\frac{1}{4}$. □

If C is a complex scheme of even type, let $\mathrm{sig}(C)$ denote $\mathrm{sig}_{1/4}(C)$. We let $n(C)$ denote the number of ovals of C with odd parity. These are called odd ovals. The number of odd ovals which are empty is denoted $n_+(C)$. The number of odd ovals which have one oval immediately inside them is denoted $n_0(C)$. The number of odd ovals which have more than one oval immediately inside them is denoted $n_-(C)$. Note that the subscripts reflect the Euler characteristic of the region bounding the

oval from the inside. As usual, we drop the C from the notation for numerical characteristics of C when it should cause no confusion.

Theorem C.2. *Suppose C is a non-empty complex scheme of even type. If l is even,*

$$|\operatorname{sig} + n + n_0 + 2n_- - 2(\Pi_+ - \Pi_-)| + \operatorname{nul} \leq l - n_+ - n_- - 1.$$

If l is odd and C has just one outer oval,

$$|\operatorname{sig} + n + n_0 + 2n_- - 2(\Pi_+ - \Pi_-)| + \operatorname{nul} \leq l - n_+ - n_- + 1.$$

If l is odd and C has more than one outer oval,

$$|\operatorname{sig} + n + n_0 + 2n_- - 2(\Pi_+ - \Pi_-) + 1| + \operatorname{nul} \leq l - n_+ - n_-.$$

Also

$$\operatorname{sig} + \operatorname{nul} \equiv l - 1 \pmod 2.$$

Proof. We have that sig is the limit of σ_ω on the unit circle as ω approaches -1. nul is the η_ω for ω near -1.

In [G5, sections 4 and 5], a signature [G3] for links, denoted $S_0(L)$, and a nullity (denoted $\eta_0(L)$) is computed for $L = L(C)$. Here the subscript 0 refers to the zero homology class of $H_1(Q)$. We have

$$\sigma_{-1}(L(C)) = S_0(L) - l + 2(\Pi_+ - \Pi_-) \tag{26}$$

and

$$\eta_{-1}(L(C)) = \eta_0(L) \tag{27}$$

Above, the $-l$ is to correct for the choice of framing and we subtract the total linking number of $L(C)$ which is $2(\Pi_- - \Pi_+)$ to convert between signature conventions using oriented spanning surfaces links and unoriented spanning surfaces. The difference between these signatures is well explained in [GL, p63]. Using [G5, Prop 4.2 , 5.1], 26 and 27, we write out $\sigma_{-1}(L(C))$ and $\eta_{-1}(L(C))$. Thus, if l is even,

$$\sigma_{-1}(L(C)) = 2(\Pi_+ - \Pi_-) - n - n_0 - 2n_-$$

and

$$\eta_{-1}(L(C)) = l - n + n_0 - 1.$$

If l is odd, and C has just one outer oval,

$$\sigma_{-1}(L(C)) = 2(\Pi_+ - \Pi_-) - n - n_0 - 2n_-$$

and $\eta_{-1}(L(C)) = l - n + n_0 + 1$. If l is odd, and C has more than one outer oval,

$$\sigma_{-1}(L(C)) = 2(\Pi_+ - \Pi_-) - n - n_0 - 2n_- - 1$$

with $\eta_{-1}(L(C)) = l - n + n_0$.

Now consider the eigenvalues of the continuous family of Hermitian matrices V_ω. The non-zero eigenvalues at $\omega = -1$ must keep their signs as ω is perturbed from -1 but the zero eigenvalues can suddenly become non-zero but nul of these zero eigenvalues must remain unchanged. This follows from the continuity of the characteristic polynomial of V_ω and the continuity of the roots of a continuous family of polynomials [W, Appendix V]. Finally the change in the nullity must be congruent modulo two to the change in the signature as we move away from -1. □

Department of Mathematics, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803, USA
E-mail address: gilmer@math.lsu.edu
URL: www.math.lsu.edu/~gilmer/

Laboratoire Emile Picard, UFR MIG, Univ. Paul Sabatier, Toulouse, France, and, Steklov Math. Inst., Moscow Russia, and National Research University Higher School of Economics, Russian Federation. AG Laboratory, HSE, Vavilova 7, Moscow, Russia, 117312
E-mail address: orevkov@picard.ups-tlse.fr
URL: http://www.math.univ-toulouse.fr/~orevkov/